GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

1901
(Education)

File No. 324-10

Serial Nos. NIL

Papers Filed

Month August, 1911

SUBJECT

D O Correspondence re additional charges for the Central College

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES

GPB—8 000—5 4 07

GRS 43]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

Ed. 324-10

D.O. correspondence re addl. charges for the Central College

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G1 B—80 000— 3 2 11

Camp
No 6

Amanda Road.
Ferncliff Botanic
26th May 1911

To

The Principal
(1) Presidency College, Madras
(2) Christian College, Madras
(3) St Joseph's College Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I shall be much obliged if you will kindly favour me with information on the following points relating to your College –

(1) The average every year strength of the Intermediate B/Sc (1) Physics (II A) and Geology (III C) class
(2)*(3) Physics (II A) class

~~Same for~~

(2) The number of Demonstrators employed in connection with such class

I have the
26/5/11 Honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
26/5/11.

Camp No 6

Ananda Asram
Tenhill, Ootacamund
26th May 19

To

The Principal

1) Presidency Colly Madras

2) Christian Colly Madras

3) St. Joseph's Coll Trichinopoly

Sir,

I shall be much obliged if you will kindly favor me with information on the following points relating to your college

(1) (1) The average strength ever 3
year of the Physics (II A)
and Geology (III C) classes

(2 & 3) Physics (II A) classes

(-) The number of demonstrators employed in connection with such classes -

I have &
Sd) J Ananda Row
Dewan of Mysore

'Copy

For requests
in the Branch

4

Fern Hill

26 May 11

D N, 2364

My dear Sir,

Will you kindly let me know ~~whether~~ how ~~or~~ what the strength of your Physics classes is and how many additional Demonstrators you require? You have already two lectureas (one of whom I believe is in lieu of a Demonstrator) and one Demonstrator for Physics, will not one additional Demonstrator suffice for the present?

Yours faithfully

(Signature)

E P Metcalfe Esq M.A.

Professor of Physics,

Central College, Bangalore

5-6

D O No. 2671

5

In reply to D O No 2364 dated 26th May.

Central College House
Bangalore
9th June 1911

Dear Sir

The sizes of the Physics Classes during the Session 1911-2 will be approximately as follows -

B A Senior (old regulations)	36
B A Junior (new regulations)	20 to 25
B A Physics for Mathematics Group 1 (new regulations)	10 to 15
Intermediate Senior (new regulations)	64
Intermediate Junior (new regulations)	64

My staff consists of the following -

E P	Metcalfe, B Sc	Professor of Physics
B	Venkatanaranappa, M A	Assistant Master
B	Venkatesachar, M A	Additional Science Assistant
T	Venkata Rao, B A	Demonstrator

(In passing, I should like to mention that the official designations of Messrs Venkatanaranappa and Venkatesachar are peculiarly incongruous, and should be changed to " First " and " Second Assistant Professor of Physics " respectively, as soon as possible)

From this you will see that we have about 50 students per member of the staff, which is hardly large enough for the work I propose, during the coming Session to borrow the services for three or four hours a week, of the Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Mr B F Srinivasaiengar, to lecture to a composite class of Physics and Mathematics students on Applied Mathematics By this arrangement we may be able to get along for this year without the help of an additional demonstrator of Physics But Mr Srinivasaiengar will have to be relieved of some of the more elementary part of his

work, and for this purpose I suggest that a demonstrator of Mathematics should be appointed immediately I have consulted the Professor of Mathematics, Mr M T Naraniengar, in this, and he is of my opinion

I have discussed the matter with Mr Weir, and I think that he agrees with me that this arrangement will suffice for this year Next year, and especially when we have to deal with a Physics Class for Chemistry B A (Branch II B) students, it will probably be necessary to appoint at least ~~two~~ one more demonstrator of Physics

I am, dear Sir,

yours very faithfully,

E P Metcalfe

Submitted

The following informat
is found in the Budget
Estimates of the Indian Instit
of Science for 1911—12

1 Provision is ma
for Demonstrator's honoraria
(4 for 6 months at Rs 100 p m)
and (2) for Professors
2 for 1 year at Rs 1250 p m
1 for 10 months at Rs 1250 p m
1 for 2 months at Rs 625 p m
and Assistant Professors
and Librarian
for 10 months at Rs 750 p m
for 2 months at Rs 375 p m

2 The pay of the
Mechanic is Rs 60 p m in the
Rs 40 — 80 grade in the Depts
of General and Organic Chemistry
and Applied Chemistry, and
that

of the Laboratory helpers and Departmental peons as Rs 10/-

3 The Budget estimates of the Indian ~~Dairy~~ Note are submitted herewith for possible reference

7/5/11

Mysore
15 June

DO No. 2427/Ent/Sole

My dear Sir,

As desired in your DO No 372 of 24th May 1911 I have written to the Principals of the Presidency College Madras and St Joseph's College Trichinopoly, for information as to the strength of the Physics and Geology classes and the staff employed in those Colleges, but I have not yet received a reply from them.

2 I have, however heard from Mr Metcalfe who considers that, by utilising the services of the Mathematics Asstt Professor for three or four hours a week for Physics work, it will be possible to do without an additional Demonstrator for Physics this year, but that it would be necessary to employ a Demonstrator for Mathematics. I think this opinion may be accepted, and the proposal

10

contained in my note of 10th May 1911 may be sanctioned with this modification

yours sincerely

(Dewan) 15/June/11

RH Campbell Esq I.C.S

Dr

Cr

Dr

15/6/11

Mysore
25th June 11

DO:- 2488/En 1

My dear Sir,

With reference to my D.O. letter No 2427 of the 18th ult on the subject of the additional funds for the Central College to be provided in the budget of the next year I send herewith the reply received from the Principal of the Presidency College, Madras. The Principal's letter does not answer all the points raised, but ~~it shows that they~~ give sufficient details, but it is stated that they had the Demonstrator for Geology, are for Botany & are for Zoology.

Yours sincerely
(Devadoss Gundu)

R.H. Campbell Esq I.C.S.
Ld. &c

D O no 403 ... 2747 12

Thereon the file-draft ... Private Secretary Mysore

Palace, Mysore,

26th June 1911

My dear Sir,

In reply to your D O NO,2427 dated 18th June I write to suggest that before your proposal is laid before His Highness it may be ascertained demi-officially that Mr Tait has no objection to varying Mr Srinivasiengar's work in the manner suggested. I cannot help thinking that it would be better to employ a Demonstrator in Physics at once rather than to assign Physics work to the Assistant Professor of Mathematics In either case you have to bring in one new hand as a Demonstrator and why not do this in Physics and leave Mr Sreenivasiengar's work undisturbed? According to Mr Metcalfe xxxxxxxxxx a Demonstrator in Physics will in any case be required next year when the B A Chemistry classes are opened

Yours sincerely

T Ananda Rao, Esq C I E
Dewan of Mysore

Lucknow
27 June 13

2503/En 1

My dear Sir,

I am in receipt of your DO No 403 of the 26th inst, regarding the appointment of an Demonstrator in Physics for the Central College. I request that you will kindly return the file on the subject sent to you with my DO No 2427 of 18th inst. for further action.

In this connection, the enclosed letter from the Principal of the Muslim College, of whom also I made enquiry, regarding the strength of the Physics and Chemistry classes in that College, and the staff employed, may be perused

Yours sincerely

(Denys)

Rt Campbell Esq I.C.S
Lucknow

Allen Brown

X
Fr 2735

D O No 2749 14

PS 208
With 1 enle + 2 letters

The Palace, Mysore,

28th June 1911

My dear Sir,

With reference to your D O No 2503 dated 27th Instant I beg to return the file referred to and also Dr Skinner's letter It would simplify matters if when resubmitting the papers you would have prepared a tabular statement shewing

(1) Each class dealt with

(2) The average strength of each class

(3) Staff sanctioned for each subject including Lecturers and Demonstrators

(4) Additional staff proposed

If a similar statement excluding Col 4 is prepared for the outside colleges to which one may be written, His Highness will be able to compare and judge the situation at a glance

Yours sincerely

T Ananda Rao Esq C I E
Dewan of Mysore,

MADRAS CHRISTIAN COLLEGE
MADRAS

T Ananda Rao Esq, C I E
Dewan of Mysore

Dear Sir

I am in receipt of your letter of the 26th May 1911 (Camp No. 6)

The normal strength of the Intermediate Physics classes, which include the students of Group II and those of Group III, is about 50; & that of the B.A. classes in Group II A (Physics), about 30. In the Senior B.A. class there will usually be more than that number, as students who fail in the

Examination will have to rejoin the class

2 The staff employed in connection with these classes as follows:—

A Professor of Chemistry & a Professor of Physics

A Lecturer in Chemistry & Lecturer in Physics.

Two Demonstrators in Physics & Demonstrator in Chemistry

Yours faithfully,
W. Alvin Stevens
Principal

15-16

THE PRESIDENCY COLLEGE, MADR

14th June 19

LOC No 16/11

FROM

The Hon Mr T A Stone M.A.
Director of Public Instruction
for PRINCIPAL,

To

The Dewan of Mysore
Amanda Krama
Ferozepet, Coolacamun

IR

With reference to your kind
Cam's No 6 dated the 26 May last
I have the honour to forward below
information regarding the strength
of each Class for the year 1910-1911
Information for the previous years will be furnished if
required
2 There were only one 2d
Examination attached to the Geology
Classes besides one for Bodamu a
one
~~Examination~~ for Zoology Classes
For 1910-1911
Jun or Secondary Male 108
Senior —do— 82
Junior B & Physics 22
—do— Geology —

Senior B's Physics — 29

——— Do ——— Geol. 16

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

R Smedsamberger
Hon Secretary

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

Submit

(put up)

In the memorandum from Mr Campbell a reference to Mr Taft was suggested

The statement now asked for, as regards the Central College, can also best be prepared by the Principal of the Central College

It seems desirable to deal with the matters officially through the Hy-y/Sec

If so orders accordingly may be passed on two Dr

Lee
29 6

Yes I think

I shall be happy

H

(I draft to submit returning these papers to the Hon Secretary desirable to ask Prof Li to make a note for the provinces for inclusion in the Budget

Mrs Allen 29/6 Lee 29 6a

B—S

sir the note must be copied or pasted on this form

20
Bungalow
22 July 4

D O No 2610

My dear Sir,

With reference to the revised proposals as regard additional staff to the Central College, contained in your letter No 453/25 of 12 July 1911, there is yet one point ~~on~~ which I wish to be clear about

2 Mr Metcalfe's proposal (in which I note you and Mr Tait agree) is that the Lectures in Mathematics should take up a portion of the Physics work, & that a Demonstrator in Mathematics ~~be~~ should be at once appointed, the appointment of an addl Demonstrator in Physics being thus postponed for a year It will be seen that ~~in any~~ an addl Demonstrator in Physics will be required next year in any case What I wish to know is whether, if this addl Demonstrator in Physics be at once sanctioned, we

Can avoid the necessity
of having to appoint a
Mathematics Demonstrator
at all, either this year or in
the future. You must remember
that in your letter No 7983/626
of 18th March 1911 you did
not mention the appointment
of a Mathematics Demonstrator, and the necessity for
one seems doubtful
in such matters unless
the appointment made
is always temporary an
appointment once made
cannot be abolished without
claims for heavy compensation
in one way or another

Yours sincerely

(Dewar)

Western Ind
Lt of Edn

Bangalore

22

D O No 2610 D O No Z 2872

Reply by Supt 26/7/11

Office of the Inspector-General of Education in Mysore, Bangalore 24th July 1911

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D O No 2610 dated 22nd instant about the staff required for the Central College an additional Demonstrator will be required for Physics next year whether one is appointed for Physics or Mathematics now

2 See the University Commission Report on the Central College, page 3, para (d)

3 The present proposal saves the expense of one Demonstrator for a year

4 The two B A Groups I and II A overlap to some extent The Physics staff are doing the teaching of Physics (theoretical and Practical) to Group I as well as II A It is proposed that the Mathematics staff should do the teaching of applied Mathematics to II A

as well as I But this requires a Demonstrator

Mathematics

Yours sincerely,

J Weir

Inspector General

To,

T Ananda Rao, Esq , C I.E

Dewan of Mysore